

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MARK A. FREGIA,

Plaintiff,

v.

YUCUI CHEN, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 1:20-cv-01024-KES-EPG (PC)

ORDER ADOPTING IN PART FINDINGS
AND RECOMMENDATIONS AND
DENYING IN PART AND GRANTING IN
PART DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR
SUMMARY JUDGMENT

(Docs. 59, 140)

Plaintiff Mark A. Fregia is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This case proceeds on plaintiff's claims that defendants Yucui Chen and Lisa Goso were deliberately indifferent to his serious medical needs by purportedly denying him medications while he was confined at the Substance Abuse Treatment Facility and State Prison (SATF) in Corcoran, California. Defendants move for summary judgment. Doc. 59.

The magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations on March 8, 2024, recommending that defendants' motion be granted to the extent that defendants request dismissal of plaintiff's deliberate indifference claim against defendant Chen and denied to the extent that defendants request dismissal of plaintiff's deliberate indifference claim against defendant Goso. Doc. 140 at 1-2. The findings and recommendations provided the parties an opportunity to file objections. Plaintiff and defendants filed objections and responses to the filed objections. Docs. 146, 148, 153, 159.

1 In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), this Court has conducted a de novo review of
2 this case and adopts in part the findings and recommendations.

3 **A. Defendant Chen**

4 The magistrate judge recommended that summary be granted as to defendant Chen. The
5 magistrate judge found that, even when viewing the undisputed facts in the light most favorable
6 to plaintiff, plaintiff failed to put forth sufficient evidence such that a reasonable jury could find
7 in his favor. Doc. 140 at 8. In his objections to the findings and recommendations, plaintiff
8 argues that Chen failed to follow CDCR procedures, that Chen should not have suspended
9 plaintiff's medication as plaintiff could have refused his medication when it was administered,
10 and that Gosso's testimony provides evidence that Chen and Gosso worked as a team to deprive
11 inmates of their constitutional right to medical care. However, plaintiff largely renews arguments
12 already properly addressed by the magistrate judge in the findings and recommendations.
13 Further, the alleged failure to follow CDCR procedure is insufficient to establish an Eighth
14 Amendment violation for deliberate indifference to medical needs.

15 Accordingly, the Court adopts in full the findings and recommendations as to defendant
16 Chen. Defendants' summary judgment motion is granted to the extent that it seeks the dismissal
17 of defendant Chen.

18 **B. Defendant Gosso**

19 With respect to defendant Gosso, the magistrate judge recommended that summary
20 judgement be denied because there existed a genuine dispute of material facts.

21 Defendants filed objections to the findings and recommendations, arguing that the
22 findings and recommendations improperly adopted plaintiff's version of the facts even though
23 those versions of the facts were "blatantly contradicted" by plaintiff's sworn testimony and the
24 written records. Doc. 153 at 2. Defendants challenge three presumptions as being unsupported
25 by the evidence: "(1) Plaintiff had filed a complaint before the incident, (2) MA Gosso was aware
26 of the complaint, and (3) MA Gosso lied about Plaintiff's refusal."

27 Defendants argue that plaintiff's formal grievance (a 602 grievance) was dated three days
28 after the conduct at issue and therefore could not be the cause for Gosso allegedly lying about

1 plaintiff's refusal to take medications. *Id.* In response, plaintiff agrees that he had not filed a 602
2 grievance related to Gosso before the interaction at issue but argues that he did make a verbal
3 complaint on the day that he had his medication renewed by Dr. Chen. *Id.* at 2-3, 9. Plaintiff
4 explains that on January 24, 2018, Gosso had another party present throughout his consultation
5 with Dr. Chen, which plaintiff did not recognize as CDCR staff. *Id.* at 3. Plaintiff states that he
6 complained about the unidentified third party verbally and the verbal complaint is the reason that
7 Gosso took adverse actions against him, including falsely reporting that he refused to take his
8 medications. *Id.* at 3.

9 In the response to the objections, plaintiff also explains that the allegations in the
10 complaint contain a typo which occurred because of a misunderstanding between plaintiff and his
11 jailhouse lawyer.¹ *Id.* at 10. Plaintiff argues that these facts have been in evidence for years. *Id.*
12 at 11. Plaintiff's argument as to the timing of defendants' argument is well taken. Defendants
13 raise this alleged inconsistency in the first amended complaint for the first time in their objections
14 to the findings and recommendations. Liberally construing the complaint, plaintiff alleged that he
15 made a complaint against Gosso and that Gosso knew about the complaint prior to allegedly
16 falsely reporting that plaintiff refused to take his medications. In support of their summary
17 judgment motion, defendants indicated that plaintiff claimed he would file a grievance against
18 everyone when he refused to take medications. Doc. 59-1 at 8. Notwithstanding the clarification
19 by plaintiff as to the timing of the 602 grievance, the magistrate judge correctly found that there
20 was a genuine issue of material fact as to whether plaintiff's complaint could have adversely
21 influenced Gosso's conduct. The magistrate's judge's findings and analysis are otherwise
22 supported by the record and proper analysis

23 Defendants also argue that summary judgment should be granted as to Gosso because
24 plaintiff conceded that on January 25, 2018, he exercised his rights to refuse medications,
25 "including labs." Doc. 153 at 4. In the first amended complaint, plaintiff alleges that Gosso lied

26 ¹ The Court notes that the first amended complaint contains an allegation that Gosso "harbored
27 animosity towards the Plaintiff over a complaint he had recently made against her." Doc. 16 at 5.
28 The first amended complaint also alleges that Gosso was angry at plaintiff because he had filed a
complaint against her several months earlier. Doc. 16 at 9.

1 and falsely reported that plaintiff had requested to be taken off his medications. Doc. 16 at 5. In
2 response to defendant's objections, plaintiff indicates that he has "refused labs for years, without
3 repercussions" and that he never requested to be taken off his medications. Doc. 159 at 4. The
4 magistrate judge correctly found that there is a material dispute as to whether Goso accurately
5 reported to Dr. Chen that plaintiff refused to take medications.

6 Accordingly, the Court adopts the findings and recommendations as to defendant Goso as
7 set forth above. Defendants' summary judgment motion is denied to the extent that it seeks the
8 dismissal of defendant Goso.

9 **Conclusion**

10 Having carefully reviewed the filings, including the objections and responses thereto, the
11 Court adopts the findings and recommendations in part as described above.

12 Accordingly:

- 13 1. The findings and recommendations issued on March 8, 2024, Doc. 140, are ADOPTED
14 IN PART;
- 15 2. Defendants motion for summary judgment, Doc. 59, is granted in part and denied in part,
16 as follows:
- 17 a. Defendants are granted summary judgment to the extent that they seek dismissal of
18 plaintiff's deliberate indifference claim against defendant Chen.
- 19 b. Defendants are denied summary judgment to the extent that they seek dismissal of
20 plaintiff's deliberate indifference claim against defendant Goso.
- 21 3. This case shall proceed only on plaintiff's claim that defendant Goso was deliberately
22 indifferent to his serious medical needs.
- 23 4. This matter is referred back to the assigned magistrate judge for further proceedings.

24
25
26 IT IS SO ORDERED.

27 Dated: March 26, 2025


28
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE